IMAGINE . . .

Fifty-Nine Thought Experiments That Tell You What You Think

EDWARD PACKARD

© 2023, 2024 Edward Packard all rights reserved

CONTENTS

Introduction

The Miracle Wizard

Fifty-Nine Thought Experiments:

- 1. At Age Seventeen, Would You Rather Have an Exceptionally Wonderful Life Ahead of You, but Die When You're Fifty, Or Have a Mediocre and Unrewarding Life and Live To Be One Hundred?
- 2. At Age Fifty, Would You Prefer Be Living a Wonderful Life that's About to End, or a Mediocre and Unrewarding Life, Knowing that You'll Live To Be One Hundred?
- 3. How Does the Value of Mobility Compare with Being Able To Look Back on a Wonderful Life?
- 4. Is It Better To Be Courageous or a Coward?
- 5. Luxurious Solitary Confinement.
- 6. Your Very Own Universe.
- 7. You Are a Character in a Computer Game Played by an Unstable Adolescent.
- 8. You Die and Go to Heaven.
- 9. You Are About to Die, But Can Have a Second Life as an Animal.
- 10. You Are Aging Backward, but No One Else Is.
- 11. Risking Everything To Get Set Up for Life.
- 12. You Are Still Alive and Feeling Fine Even Though You're 125.
- 13. Comfortably Ensconced in a Space Capsule, You Start Falling Upward, Accelerating at the Same Rate As You Would in Free Fall.
- 14. You Don't Know When You'll Die, but You Can Choose How You'll Die.
- 15. You are About to Die, but Have the Option of Living as a Character of Your Choice in a Novel that Has Become Real. The Events Described in It Are Actually Happening.
- 16. What Would You Say If You Could Talk to Your Earlier Self When You Were at a Much Younger Age?

- 17. Turning Back the Clock and Living Life Forward from When You Were Much Younger.
- 18. Imagine That What John Lennon Imagined in His Song "Imagined" Happened.
- 19. Would you Rather Have Your Brain Uploaded into a Special Purpose Super Computer than Be Dead?
- 20. You're Living 30,000 Years Ago but Know Everything You Know Now.
- 21. You're Twenty Years Old, and You Have a Super Advanced A.I Machine that Can Make the Right Decisions for You Every Time.
- 22. Checking Out the Scene One Thousand Years from Now.
- 23. Checking Out the Scene Fourteen Billion Years from Now.
- 24. You Have a Painful Epiphany.
- 25. Is Your Life Like a Novel?
- 26. Would You Want To Live Your Life Over Again after You Die the Exact Same Life?
- 27. Would You Want To Live Your Life Over Again after You Die Beginning with the Same Circumstances at Birth, but Because of Chance Variations, It Will Play Out Differently.
- 28. Imagine that the Species *Homo Erectus* Is Still Extant.
- 29. How Would You React if You Won a Billion Dollars in a Mega Jackpot Lottery?
- 30. Imagine that You've Been Transformed into Dark Matter.
- 31. Would You Be Willing To Reduce Your Future Life Span by Ten Percent to Learn the Answers to Some Major Scientific Questions and How the Future of Homo Sapiens Plays Out?
- 32. If You Open a Particular Door in Front of You, the Rest of Your Life May Be Consistently Wonderful.
- 33. It Seemed To Have Happened in an Instant: As far as You Can Tell, Everyone in the World Has Disappeared but You.
- 34. What Duty, If Any, Do We Owe to Future Generations?
- 35. If You Could Have Three Famous Writers, Dead or Alive, Join You for Dinner, Whom Would You Invite?
- 36. You've Been Sentenced To Live for a Year on a Remote Small Uninhabited Island and Can Bring Only One Book with You. What Book Would You Bring?
- 37. You Have the Chance To Have the Most Over-the-Top Single Experience of Your Life that You Specify.
- 38. You Can Choose To Have a Feeling of Complete Assurance that You'll Go to Heaven When You Die.

- 39. You Promised Your Uncle To Perform a Ten-Minute Religious Ritual Every Day for the Rest of Your Life.
- 40. Can You Prove That You Are a Human Conversing with René, a ChatBot, even though René Claims that It Is Human and that You Are a ChatBot?
- 41. How Would You Feel When, on the Brink of Death, You Learn that You Just Won a Nobel Prize?
- 42. Which of These Three Persons Would You Prefer To Be in Your Next Life?
- 43. Imagine that You Had Never Been Born.
- 44. What if Logical Reasoning from a Sound Premise Leads to a Repugnant Conclusion?
- 45. Would It Be the Right To Subject One Person To a Half Hour of Agony to Save Ten Million T.V. Viewers from Missing the Thrilling Final of the World Cup Soccer Match?
- 46. The Limits of Civic Duty: A Case Study.
- 47. Suppose Everyone Held the View that Free Will, as Most People Think of It, Is an Illusion.
- 48. Can a Person Change Sufficiently To Become Another Person?
- 49. Can You Get Rid of Your Sense of Self?
- 50. Would You Want To Be Able To Dream After You Die?
- 51. Would You Like To Never Have Dreams When You're Sleeping?
- 52. Would You Be Willing To Be the Next Human Baby Born in the World after You Die?
- 53. The (Infamous) Two Children Problem (The Two-Child Problem); (The Two-Child Paradox).
- 54. If You Could Save the Life of Only One of These Three People, Which One Would You Save?
- 55. You Are the Dictator of Policy Relating to Animals Bred and Raised for Agricultural Purposes in the U.S.
- 56. Create Your Own Advisor.
- 57. A Brain Scan Reveals that within the Next Twenty-Four Hours You'll Have a Fatal Cerebral Hemorrhage.
- 58. Hundreds of Years after You Die, You Come Back to Life but Only for an Hour.
- 59. You are an Earth Inspector.

INTRODUCTION

Thought experiments are almost limitless in their diversity and range. They are in the toolkits of many scientists and philosophers. Einstein found them helpful in developing his theories of special and general relativity. Military strategists employ them in what they call war games. Law school and medical school exams are replete with hypothetical cases — thought experiments in which students are presented with a set of facts and asked, *Imagine that you are the judge (or the doctor)*. How would you rule? (What would you prescribe?) We all conduct thought experiments without realizing it, often as simple as "What if it rains?" The British philosopher Derek Parfit (1942 - 2017) was particularly keen on them. One of his celebrated ones is the model for thought experiment #44 in this book.

A thought experiment I've come upon a number of times is the trolley problem, which has been postulated in various forms. A version I remember asks you to imagine that you are standing next to a switch that can be used to redirect a trolley onto an alternate route. A trolley is coming along. *Horrors:* it's on a route such that, if it keeps going, it will kill three people who are on the tracks beyond the switch. Fortunately, you can save them by turning the switch and redirecting the trolley on the alternate route. Unfortunately, if you do that, the trolley will kill a person who is on the tracks on that route.

What will you do? You have seconds to decide. Pull the switch, and you will have directly caused someone's death. But if you don't pull the switch, three people, instead of one, will die because of your inaction.

In one version of the trolley problem, in order to save three people, instead of pulling a switch that will result in the trolley killing one person, you have to push a person onto the tracks. Aversion to making physical contact in such circumstances tends to be stronger than acting remotely even though both actions produce the same result. Philosophical inquiries can't ignore human emotions.

In his book *Life is Hard* (2022), the philosopher Kieran Setiya asks, "Would you choose to save one person from an hour of torment, or to relieve a multitude from mild

headaches?" In this experiment, unlike in the trolley problem, no one dies, and the facts are more nebulous. What is meant by "torment," and how many is a "multitude"? It would be futile to try to be precise, and there is no right or wrong answer. Setiya, who suffers from chronic pain, tells of agonies he has endured. His personal experience with pain, rather than philosophical reasoning, may have formed his view that it would be worse for one person to suffer torment for an hour than for a multitude to have a mild headache.

One could argue that all novels, movies, and plays are thought experiments or series of thought experiments. They depict imagined characters, imagined situations, and imagined actions. This is taken to an extra dimension in the movie *Groundhog Day*, starring Bill Murray. If you've seen it, you probably remember that Murray's character, Phil Connors, is a crude cynical guy who wakes up the day after Groundhog Day and finds that it's Groundhog Day all over again, and this keeps happening day after day. Connor's successive Groundhog Days are like a series of thought experiments, exploring the consequences of acting different ways in the same circumstances without affecting his real life (in this case his real life in the movie).

This process is immensely frustrating but instructive for Phil Connors. He learns what otherwise might never have been possible: how to stop being a jerk. A Groundhog Day comes when he behaves in a reasonably sensitive and civilized way. The young woman he has been pursuing, who was repulsed by his conduct on previous Groundhog days, gets a version of him she finds appealing. His succession of Groundhog Days ends.

Real life choices are rarely, if ever, so bizarre as the trolley problem, or so incommensurable as the torment and mild headaches problem, or so fantastical as the Groundhog Day problem. Nonetheless, constructing hypothetical situations and thinking about what would happen in various contingencies can expand your thinking and give you a window into your psychic state.

In the thought experiments presented in this book, I describe a situation and ask what you would think or do in these circumstances. Then, in most cases, to supply another perspective, I say what I would think or do.

Almost without exception, I don't claim to be giving the right answer to these questions. Few of them have right or wrong answers. The thought experiments in this book aren't intended to tell you what you should think; rather, they ask

you to consider *what* you think. This can be useful: Becoming aware of what I think and of the consequences of failing to think have freed me from insularity and improved my chances that when the road ahead of me diverges, I'll know which way to go.